

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/611,745		06/30/2003	Canan Uslu Hardwicke	121278-1	1348
6147	7590	05/25/2006		EXAMINER	
		RIC COMPANY	ABRAMOWITZ, HOWARD E		
GLOBAL RESEARCH PATENT DOCKET RM. BLDG. K1-4A59				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NISKAYU.	NISKAYUNA, NY 12309			1762	
				DATE MAILED: 05/25/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ·			1/			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/611,745	HARDWICKE ET AL				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Howard E. Abramowitz	1762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 16 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing data.	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Office of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to within the time period set forth in 3	avoid dismissal of th 7 CFR 41.37(a).	e appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bei	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);				
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a						
NOTE: see attachment. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 4	11.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) abjected the		l be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-26</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.  10. The affidavit or other evidence failed to constitution of the sum of the s	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a l).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•				
<ul> <li>11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see attachment.</li> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> </ul>			nce because:			
moto the attached information pipolosule otatement(s).	1. 10.00.00 011 10-1440/1 apci N	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

13. Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## Response to Amendment

Applicant's amendments filed 5/16/06, have been fully considered and reviewed by the examiner. However, the amendment to the claims changes the scope of the claims and as such would require further search and consideration by the examiner. Thus the amendment to the claims will not be entered.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 5/16/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant has argued that the 112 written description rejections of claims 25 and 26 are unjust and that support for the claims exists in the specification.

The examiner argues that the exemplified surfaces that the applicant's invention is drawn to in paragraphs 27 and 30 would not lead one of ordinary skill to recognize that the invention would be useful on other hot gas path surfaces without any teaching of which other hot gas path surfaces the invention would be useful in conjunction with. To arbitrarily add examples of surfaces to apply the invention to regardless of the related art without any teaching of these surfaces in the disclosure would not alone lead one of ordinary skill to believe that this application would have a reasonable expectation of successfully functioning with the applicant's invention.

The applicant's arguments are deemed moot as they are drawn to limitations that were not present at the time of the filing of the final rejection.

Art Unit: 1762

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Howard E. Abramowitz whose telephone number is 571-272-8557. The examiner can normally be reached on monday-friday 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy H. Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**HEA** 

TIMOTHY MEEKS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER